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## Alternate San Luis Obispo County 2016-2018 Land Use <br> Conversion

Table A-31
Part 1: County Summary and Change by Land Use Category

| Land Use <br> Category | Total <br> Acreage <br> Inventoried <br> 2016 | Total <br> Acreage <br> Inventoried <br> 2018 | $2016-18$ <br> (2) Acres <br> Lost (-) | 2016-18 <br> (2) Acres <br> Gained <br> $(+)$ | 2016-18 <br> (2) Total <br> Acreage <br> Changed | 2016-18 <br> (2) Net <br> Acreage <br> Changed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prime <br> Farmland | 41,189 | 41,070 | 939 | 820 | 1,759 | -119 |
| Farmland <br> of <br> Statewide <br> Importance | 22,698 | 23,202 | 362 | 866 | 1,228 | 504 |
| Unique <br> Farmland | 45,175 | 45,718 | 1,097 | 1,640 | 2,737 | 543 |
| Farmland <br> of Local <br> Importance | 288,127 | 285,392 | 4,376 | 1,733 | 6,109 | $-2,643$ |
| Important <br> Farmland <br> Subtotal | 397,189 | 395,382 | 6,774 | 5,059 | 11,833 | $-1,715$ |
| Grazing <br> Land | $1,189,169$ | $1,190,197$ | 1,709 | 2,793 | 4,502 | 1,084 |
| Agricultural <br> Land <br> Subtotal | $1,586,358$ | $1,585,579$ | 8,483 | 7,852 | 16,335 | -631 |
| Urban and <br> Built-up <br> Land | 50,162 | 50,187 | 542 | 565 | 1,107 | 23 |
| Other Land | 242,298 | 243,065 | 448 | 1,020 | 1,468 | 572 |
| Water Area | 8,778 | 10,671 | 9 | 45 | 54 | 36 |
| Total Area <br> Inventoried <br> $(1)$ | $1,887,596$ | $1,889,502$ | 9,482 | 9,482 | 18,964 | 0 |

Footnote (1): Total Area Inventoried changed in 2018 due to adoption of updated county boundary file; adjacent counties gained or lost corresponding acreages. Statistics representing this change are shown in bottom cells in Part 3 of table.

Footnote (2): 2016-18 Acreage Changes calculates conversions within existing mapped area; county boundary adjustment acreages not included.

## Part 2: Land Committed to Nonagricultural Use

Data not available for Land Committed to Nonagricultural Use.

## Part 3: Land Use Conversion from 2016-2018

Due to the large size of this table, it has been split into two tables. Table One has the conversions of all land uses to the Important Farmland categories (Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, Unique Farmland, Farmland of Local Importance, and Important Farmland Subtotal) and Table Two has the conversions of all land uses to the remaining land use categories (Grazing Land, Agricultural Farmland Subtotal, Urban and Built-up Land, Other Land, Water Area, and Total Area Inventoried).

Table One

| Land Use <br> Category | Prime <br> Farmland | Farmland <br> of <br> Statewide <br> Importance | Unique <br> Farmland | Farmland <br> of Local <br> Importance | Important <br> Farmland <br> Subtotal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prime <br> Farmland | no data | 0 | 3 | 893 | 896 |
| Farmland <br> of <br> Statewide <br> Importance | 0 | no data | 0 | 337 | 337 |
| Unique <br> Farmland | 3 | 2 | no data | 87 | 92 |
| Farmland <br> of Local <br> Importance | 790 | 842 | 560 | no data | 2,192 |
| Important <br> Farmland <br> Subtotal | 793 | 844 | 563 | 1,317 | 3,517 |
| Grazing <br> Land (4) | 0 | 0 | 1,024 | 329 | 1,353 |


| Land Use <br> Category | Prime <br> Farmland | Farmland <br> of <br> Statewide <br> Importance | Unique <br> Farmland | Farmland <br> of Local <br> Importance | Important <br> Farmland <br> Subtotal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agricultural <br> Land <br> Subtotal | 793 | 844 | 1,587 | 1,646 | 4,870 |
| Urban and <br> Built-up <br> Land (5) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 68 |
| Other Land | 27 | 22 | 53 | 19 | 121 |
| Water Area | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total <br> Acreage <br> Converted | 820 | 866 | 1,640 | 1,733 | 5,059 |
| 2018 <br> County <br> Boundary <br> Adjustment <br> (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | -92 | -92 |

Footnote (1): 2018 County Boundary Adjustment is due to adoption of updated county boundary file; adjacent counties gained or lost corresponding acreages.

Footnote (4): Grazing Land conversion to Unique Farmland is primarily due to the addition of vineyards in the Paso Robles area and other irrigated crops.

Footnote (5): Conversion from Urban and Built-up Land is primarily due to a lack of sufficient infrastructure and the use of detailed digital imagery to delineate more distinct urban boundaries.

Table Two

| Land Use <br> Category | Grazing <br> Land | Agricultural <br> Land <br> Subtotal | Urban <br> and Built- <br> up Land | Other <br> Land | Water <br> Area | Total <br> Converted <br> To Another <br> Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prime <br> Farmland | 0 | 896 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 939 |
| Farmland <br> of <br> Statewide <br> Importance | 0 | 337 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 362 |


| Land Use <br> Category | Grazing <br> Land | Agricultural <br> Land <br> Subtotal | Urban <br> and Built- <br> up Land | Other <br> Land | Water <br> Area | Total <br> Converted <br> To Another <br> Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unique <br> Farmland | 926 | 1,018 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 1,097 |
| Farmland <br> of Local <br> Importance <br> (3) | 1,658 | 3,850 | 234 | 292 | 0 | 4,376 |
| Important <br> Farmland <br> Subtotal | 2,584 | 6,101 | 239 | 434 | 0 | 6,774 |
| Grazing <br> Land | no data | 1,353 | 111 | 245 | 0 | 1,709 |
| Agricultural <br> Land <br> Subtotal | 2,584 | 7,454 | 350 | 679 | 0 | 8,483 |
| Urban and <br> Built-up <br> Land (5) | 127 | 195 | no data | 332 | 15 | 542 |
| Other Land | 82 | 203 | 215 | no data | 30 | 448 |
| Water Area | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | no data | 9 |
| Total <br> Acreage <br> Converted | 2,793 | 7,852 | 565 | 1,020 | 45 | 9,482 |
| 2018 <br> County <br> Boundary <br> Adjustment <br> (1) | -56 | -148 | 2 | 195 | 1,857 | 1,906 |

Footnote (1): 2018 County Boundary Adjustment is due to adoption of updated county boundary file; adjacent counties gained or lost corresponding acreages.

Footnote (3): Farmland of Local Importance conversion to Grazing Land is primarily due to nonirrigated grain areas having been left idle for four or more update cycles.

Footnote (5): Conversion from Urban and Built-up Land is primarily due to a lack of sufficient infrastructure and the use of detailed digital imagery to delineate more distinct urban boundaries.

