

California Department of Conservation

Division of Land Resource Protection

Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program

Alternate Santa Cruz County 2016-2018 Land Use Conversion

Table A-35

Part 1: County Summary and Change by Land Use Category

Land Use Category	Total Acreage Inventoried 2016	Total Acreage Inventoried 2018	2016-18 (2) Acres Lost (-)	2016-18 (2) Acres Gained (+)	2016-18 (2) Total Acreage Changed	2016-18 (2) Net Acreage Changed
Prime Farmland	13,437	13,268	194	24	218	-170
Farmland of Statewide Importance	2,334	2,263	94	24	118	-70
Unique Farmland	3,520	3,374	163	23	186	-140
Farmland of Local Importance	252	245	9	2	11	-7
Important Farmland Subtotal	19,543	19,150	460	73	533	-387
Grazing Land	19,302	19,561	20	330	350	310
Agricultural Land Subtotal	38,845	38,711	480	403	883	-77
Urban and Built-up Land	33,037	32,950	185	72	257	-113
Other Land	213,473	213,447	121	299	420	178
Water Area	357	395	3	15	18	12
Total Area Inventoried (1)	285,712	285,503	789	789	1,578	0

Footnote (1): Total Area Inventoried changed in 2018 due to adoption of updated county boundary file; adjacent counties gained or lost corresponding acreages. Statistics representing this change are shown in bottom cells in Part III of table.

Footnote (2): 2016-18 Acreage Changes calculates conversions within existing mapped area; county boundary adjustment acreages not included.

Part 2: Land Committed to Nonagricultural Use

Data not available for Land Committed to Nonagricultural Use.

Part 3: Land Use Conversion from 2016-2018

Due to the large size of this table, it has been split into two tables. Table One has the conversions of all land uses to the Important Farmland categories (Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, Unique Farmland, Farmland of Local Importance, and Important Farmland Subtotal) and Table Two has the conversions of all land uses to the remaining land use categories (Grazing Land, Agricultural Farmland Subtotal, Urban and Built-up Land, Other Land, Water Area, and Total Area Inventoried).

Table One

Land Use Category	Prime Farmland	Farmland of Statewide Importance	Unique Farmland	Farmland of Local Importance	Important Farmland Subtotal
Prime Farmland	no data	0	0	0	0
Farmland of Statewide Importance	0	no data	0	0	0
Unique Farmland	3	0	no data	0	3
Farmland of Local Importance	0	0	0	no data	0
Important Farmland Subtotal	3	0	0	0	3
Grazing Land	3	8	2	1	14

Land Use Category	Prime Farmland	Farmland of Statewide Importance	Unique Farmland	Farmland of Local Importance	Important Farmland Subtotal
Agricultural Land Subtotal	6	8	2	1	17
Urban and Built-up Land	2	0	0	0	2
Other Land	16	16	21	1	54
Water Area	0	0	0	0	0
Total Acreage Converted	24	24	23	2	73
2018 County Boundary Adjustment (1)	1	-1	-6	0	-6

Footnote (1): Total Area Inventoried changed in 2018 due to adoption of updated county boundary file; adjacent counties gained or lost corresponding acreages. Statistics representing this change are shown in bottom cells in Part III of table.

Table Two

Land Use Category	Grazing Land	Agricultural Land Subtotal	Urban and Built-up Land	Other Land	Water Area	Total Converted To Another Use
Prime Farmland	119	119	0	75	0	194
Farmland of Statewide Importance	80	80	0	14	0	94
Unique Farmland	124	127	0	36	0	163
Farmland of Local Importance	6	6	0	3	0	9

Land Use Category	Grazing Land	Agricultural Land Subtotal	Urban and Built-up Land	Other Land	Water Area	Total Converted To Another Use
Important Farmland Subtotal	329	332	0	128	0	460
Grazing Land	no data	14	3	3	0	20
Agricultural Land Subtotal	329	346	3	131	0	480
Urban and Built-up Land (3)	0	2	no data	168	15	185
Other Land	0	54	67	no data	0	121
Water Area	1	1	2	0	no data	3
Total Acreage Converted	330	403	72	299	15	789
2018 County Boundary Adjustment (1)	-51	-57	26	-204	26	-209

Footnote (1): Total Area Inventoried changed in 2018 due to adoption of updated county boundary file; adjacent counties gained or lost corresponding acreages. Statistics representing this change are shown in bottom cells in Part III of table.

Footnote (3): Conversion from Urban and Built-up Land is primarily due to a lack of sufficient infrastructure and the use of detailed digital imagery to delineate more distinct urban boundaries.